(घ) समग्र संक्रमण रोधी उपायों को पर्याप्त रूप से ध्यान में रखा जा रहा हैं।

Cases pending under Rent Control Act

405. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH:
SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN:

Will the PRIME MINISTER 'be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of cases under Delhi Rent Control Act pending with each Rent Controller and Rent Control Tribunal in Tis Hazari as on 30th September 1995 for more than three years, five years and eight years and what are the reasons for the delay in the disposal of such cases;

(b) what steps Government have so far taken to expedite disposal of the much delayed pending cases by fixing a time limit; and

(c) what are the reasons for which the Delhi Rent Control Act which was passed by both the Houses of Parliament and assented to by the President on August 23, 1995 has not so far been notified and made effective and by when a notification in this regard is likely to be issued and from which date will it be made effective?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H.R. BHARDWAJ): (a) As per available information, 17564 Rent cases were pending as on 30.6.95, in the Courts of Rent Controllers/Addl. Rent Controllers of Delhi. Out of these, 6241 cases were 3 to 10 years old and 1378 cases were pending over ,10 years. The delay in disposal of these cases is due to several reasons including due to frequent strikes by lawyers in Subordinate Courts.

(b) In order to consider the problem of arrears of cases in Courts and find out ways and means to deal with it as expeditiously as possible, a meeting of the Chief Ministers and Chief Justices of the High Courts was held on 4th December, 1993, under the Chairmanship of the Prime Minister. The resolutions adopted in the Conference have been commended to all the State Governments/UT Administrations & High Courts including Delhi High Court for necessary follow-up action. Further, the Registry of Delhi High Court had informed that Courts subordinate to Delhi High Court are making every possible efforts to expedite the disposal of pending cases. The disposal of cases depends upon various factors and as such it is not possible to fix a time limit for their disposal.

(c) After the Bill was accorded Presidential assent, a special mention was raised in the Rajya Sabha on 26.8.95 by Prof. Vijay Kumar Malhotra and others regarding strike by the traders and shopkeepers in protest against the Delhi Rent Bill, 1995. An all party members committee appointed by the Chief Minister, Government of NCT of Delhi also made suggestion regarding some changes in the Delhi Rent Bill, 1995. The Government assured that action to make suitable amendments, wherever necessary to the Delhi Rent Act, 1995 as it is at present will be taken before the said Act is brought into force. The Government have also committed that every efforts will be made to redress the legitimate grievances of all concerned and it will be ensured that the transition from the existing rent control regime to the new arrangement contained in the Delhi Rent Act 1995 is made with due regard to the interests and convenience of the affected parties, particularly ordinary tenants, for whose protection the Delhi Rent Act, 1995 has been enacted.

राजधानी एक्सप्रेस रेलगड़ियां चलाया जाना

406. **श्री दिलीप सिंह जूदेव** : क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या 1994-95 के दौरान दिल्ली से अन्य शहरों और राज्यों की राजधनियों के लिये प्रस्तावित सभी नई राजधानी एक्सप्रेस रेलगाड़ियां चला दी गई है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है और 1995-96 के दौरान ऐसी कितनी रेलगडियों के चलाए जाने की संभावना हैं;

(ग) क्या नई दिल्ली से भोपाल के बीच एक नई राजधानी रेलगाड़ी चलाने का कोई प्रस्ताव सरकार के विचारधीन हैं; और

(घ) यदि हां, तो इस रेलगाड़ी को किस मार्ग पर चलाया जाएगा?

रेल मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री सुरेश कलमाड़ी) : (क) जी हां।

(ख) से (घ) 1994-95 के दौरान 2421/2422 नई दिल्ली – भुवनेश्वर साप्ताहिक राजधानी एक्सप्रेस , 2423/2424 नई दिल्ली –गुवाहाटी साप्ताहिक राजधानी एक्सप्रेस और हज़रत निज़ामुद्दीन / नई दिल्ली और जम्मू तवी के बीच एक साप्ताहिक राजधानी एक्सप्रेस (सं 2425/2426) भी चलाई गई थी।

बहरहाल , नई दिल्ली भोपाल मार्ग साहित किसी भी मार्ग पर नई राजधानी एक्सप्रेस गाड़ी चलाने का फिलहाल कोई प्रस्ताव नहीं हैं।

चिकित्सा भंडारों द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार स्वास्थ्य योजना के नियमों की अवहेलना

407.**श्री नागमाणि** :

श्री ईश दत्त यादव :

क्या स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या केन्द्रीय सरकार स्वास्थ्य योजना के अंतर्गत निधार्रित नियमों की अवहेलना किए जाने पर गुण अवगुण के आधार पर चिकित्सा भंडारों के विरूद्ध कार्रवाई की जाती है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो गुण तथा अवगुण के निर्धारित मानदंड क्या हैं; और

(ग) गंभीर मामलों में क्या – क्या कार्रवाई की गई हैं एवं पिछले दो वर्षो के दौरान किन- किन चिकित्सा भंडारों के विरूद्ध कार्रवाई की गई हैं?

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्री (श्री ए. आर. अंतुले) : (क) जी हां।

(ख) शर्तो की एक प्रतिलिपि उपाबंध "क" पर संलग्न हैं। [देखिए परिशिष्ट 175, अनुपत्र सं 13]

(ग) जिन स्थानीय कैमिस्टो ने टेंडरों की शर्तो का उल्लंधन किया हैं उनके खिलाफ कार्रवाई की गई हैं। पिछले दो सालों के दौरान जिन स्थानीय कैमिस्टों के खिलाफ कार्रवाई की गई है उनके नाम नीचे बताए गए हैं:-

1. मैसर्स माडर्न बाजार
2. मैसर्स वेरायटी एजेंसी
3. मैसर्स एम.डी.कैमिस्ट्स
4. मैमर्स राजधानी मैडिकोज

Constitutional amendment to bar religious appeals for securing votes

408. SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA:
SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether in view of the recent judgement of Supreme Court validating religious appeals, other than those which cause hatred against other religions for seeking votes in elections, Government propose to bring forth a Constitutional Amendment to bar religious appeals and exploitation of religious sentiments to secure votes; and

(b) if so, by when and if not what is Government's decision in the light of the said judgement?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b) The Representation of the People (Second Amendment) Bill, 1994 contains a provision for cancelling the registration of a political party if *it* bears a religious name or if it promotes or attempts to promote on ground of religion, disharmony or feeling of enmity, hatred or illwill between different religious groups. There is, however, no proposal, at present, for any Constitutional amendment in this regard.